

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,007 .	007 . 12/04/2001 William R. Wheeler		42390.P12890	9720
8791 RI AKEI V SC	7590 07/25/2007 OKOLOFF TAYLOR & Z	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			GUILL, RUSSELL L	
SUNNYVALE	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2123	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-X/
/) _

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/007,007	WHEELER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Russ Guill	2123	

Advisory Action	10/007,007	WHEELER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Russ Guill	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
 . ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	·	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	(<u> </u>			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			,			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowa	nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:		, 100				
,		PAUL RODRIGI	UEZ			
		PATENT CHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER FER 2100			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/007,007

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner would like to thank the Applicant for claim amendments to put the application in conditon for allowance. However, please note that claim 35 recites, "the collection module", but the parent claim 1 does not appear to have a collection module, and therefore, the claim would be rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for insufficient antecedent basis...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 35 would be rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because the claim recites "the collection module", which appears to have insufficient antecedent basis.